

Commonwealth v. M.L. (9/22)
**MR. CORLETTA ACHIEVES SUBSTANTIAL REDUCTION
IN OUT OF STATE CASE.**

Mr. Corletta demonstrated his ability to adapt and learn the law and procedure in other jurisdictions in **Commonwealth v. M.L. (9/22)**.

In that case, the client was ticketed for excessive speed in Pennsylvania. However, the speed measuring device was not the usual Radar or Laser.

Rather, it was 1970s technology, known as “Vascar”, which utilizes the algebraic formula of Rate x Time = Distance. Using Vascar, a vehicle's speed is calculated over a fixed distance by measuring the period of time the vehicle took to travel a predetermined distance. This is then converted into an estimated speed. Vascar is still used in a number of jurisdictions.

Making it doubly difficult, the client's speed was estimated at nearly 30 miles over the speed limit. Further, prosecutors in this area of Pennsylvania do not handle speeding tickets, which required Mr. Corletta to contact the arresting Officer directly.

Mr. Corletta also had to research Pennsylvania law, as he had to determine what the point system was in Pennsylvania, because any conviction would transfer to the client's New York license pursuant to the Interstate License Compact, of which both states are members.

Mr. Corletta overcame all of these obstacles and negotiated a significant reduction in the charge by contacting the Officer and explaining the client's circumstances. As a direct result, the client pled guilty to a minor violation, which will not impact their insurance.

The client, quite impressed by Mr. Corletta’s diligent and effective efforts, thanked him in a handwritten note for “having their back”. This type of note makes the practice of law worthwhile.